

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/631,369	07/31/2003	Ullas Gargi	200207387-1	2127	
22879 7590 05/22/2007 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY			EXAM	EXAMINER	
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			OMOSEWO, OLUBUSOLA		
	LINS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		,	2168		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/631,369	GARGI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	OLUBUSOLA ONI	2168			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ja	nuary 2007.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	.This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	o3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ala attau an ar inna an A				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	ea.			
Attachment(s)	. 57				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔀 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Reopening Prosecution After Appeal

- 1. Reopening of Prosecution After Appeal Brief or Reply Brief
 In view of the appeal brief filed on 01/17/2007, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY
 REOPENED. To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:
- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

200

Application/Control Number: 10/631,369 Page 3

Art Unit: 2168

Election/Restrictions

2. The application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I. Claims 1-21 and 22 are drawn to a method and system of organizing a collection of objects arranged in a sequence order. Wherein the objects in the sequence are classified to generate a series of object clusters (707, subclass 103R).
- II. Claims 23-31 and 32 are drawn to a method and system of organizing a collection of objects, wherein the segmentation engine segments objects from the collection into clusters and the naming engine extracts context-related metadata associated with the objects and parsable into multiple levels of a name hierarchy (707, subclass 101).
- III. Claims 33 and 51 are drawn to a method of organizing a collection of objects and also includes a user interface layout engine that selects for each object cluster at least two constituent objects representative of beginning and ending instances in the corresponding object sequence (715, subclass 700).
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2)

Application/Control Number: 10/631,369

Art Unit: 2168

that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention in group I has separate utility such as organizing a collection of object. The subcombination has separate utility such as segmentation engine and naming engine.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in 4. this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention in group I has separate

Application/Control Number: 10/631,369

Art Unit: 2168

utility such as organizing a collection of object. The subcombination has separate utility such as a user interface engine to perform operations.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

5. Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention in group II has separate utility such as segmentation engine and naming engine. The subcombination has separate utility such as a user interface engine to perform operations.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are

Application/Control Number: 10/631,369

Art Unit: 2168

subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct as shown above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicted is proper.
- 7. A telephone call was made to Edouard Garcia on May 08, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/631,369

Art Unit: 2168

CONCLUSION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 8. examiner should be directed to OLUBUSOLA ONI whose telephone number is 571-272-2738. The examiner can normally be reached on 10.00-6.30PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TIM VO can be reached on 571-272-3642. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

OLUBUSOLA ONI

Examiner

Art Unit 2168